

**TEEN PARENT PROGRAM**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2006**  
**SIX MONTH UPDATE (OCTOBER 2005- MARCH 2006)**

**Data Prepared by**  
**Performance Excellence Administration**  
**Michigan Department of Human Services**

**August 2006**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	3
<b>PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM.....</b>	<b>4</b>
TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS.....	4
TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE .....	5
<b>PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY .....	6
TABLE 4 GENDER.....	7
TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS .....	8
TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER.....	9
TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS.....	10
<b>PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>11</b>
TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS .....	11
TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE.....	11
TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	12
TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	13
<b>PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS .....</b>	<b>14</b>
TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE .....	16
TABLE 10 EMPLOYEMNT STATUS.....	17
<b>PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....</b>	<b>18</b>
TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	19
TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT .....	20

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 05-06 (i.e., October 2005 through March 2006) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 541 new participants entered the program, with 17.6% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS offices.
- The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:
  - 51.6% African American
  - 36.4% White
  - 6.8% Hispanic
  - 4.1% Other (e.g., multi-racial)
  - 1.1% Native American
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 3.0% of the recently enrolled participants.
- The average age, at program entry (i.e., intake), of this group of participants was 17.94 years.
- 97.2% of the participants were single.
- 49.9% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 94.8% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- 58.0% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 84.7% of them parenting one child, 14.1% parenting two children, and 1.3% parenting three children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.1.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
  - 53.2% of the participants were enrolled in school.
  - 8.9% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
  - 2.8% of the participants were GED holders.
  - 14.6% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 12.0% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 21.0 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.12.
- 26.6% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

# TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

## Fiscal Year 2006

### Six Month Update

#### October 2005 - March 2006

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 05-06. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2006, namely, October 2005 through March 2006.

This is the first update report for the new contract period which began October 1, 2005. The new contract saw the addition of two new counties, as well as twelve new providers. The program currently operates via contract with twenty-three sites (23) in twenty (20) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Macomb, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Ottawa, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

## PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

**Table 1** presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between October 1, 2005, and March 31, 2006. During this six-month period, 541 new participants entered the program.

**Table 1**  
**NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS**

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY06 YTD <sup>1</sup>	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	57	106	86	95	94	103	541	541	1,088

<sup>1</sup> In addition to these 541 new cases entering the program during fiscal year 2006, there were 777 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2005, and remained open as of the start of FY05-06). Source: special data/information collection from the eleven established providers whose contracts were renewed (Fall 2005).

**Table 2** identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 17.6% (95) of the referrals during this six month period were from DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from: (a) some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 30.7% (166) of the referrals, and (b) community agencies, which accounted for 18.9% (102) of the referrals. The remaining 32.9% (178) of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, community health, mental health, and schools.

**Table 2**  
**REFERRAL SOURCE**

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
DHS	12	20	12	20	20	11	95 (17.6%)	95 (17.6%)	161 (14.8%)
Health Care Provider	6	7	7	4	2	6	32 (5.9%)	32 (5.9%)	90 (8.3%)
Community Health	2	20	8	5	11	18	64 (11.8%)	64 (11.8%)	122 (11.2%)
Community Agency	14	17	10	26	15	20	102 (18.9%)	102 (18.9%)	167 (15.4%)
Mental Health	0	0	1	2	0	0	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.6%)	4 (0.4%)
School	5	9	18	14	18	15	79 (14.6%)	79 (14.6%)	127 (11.7%)
Other <sup>2</sup>	18	33	30	24	28	33	166 (30.7%)	166 (30.7%)	414 (38.2%)
TOTALS	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%) <sup>3</sup>	541 (100.0%)	1,085 (100.0%)
Missing <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

<sup>2</sup> "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, guardian, another program participant, was a former program participant, TPP agency, court system (e.g., juvenile court, family court, probation officer), "Ready, Set, Grow", "United Life Styles", maternal infant outreach program, outreach peer, family advocate, HUD outreach, Work First, foster care, church, website, etc.

<sup>3</sup> In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

<sup>4</sup> Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

## PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

**Table 3** presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2006. Accordingly, 51.6% (279) of the individuals were African American, 36.4% (197) were white, 6.8% (37) were Hispanic, and 1.1% (6) were Native American. The “other” responses served to identify twenty-two individuals (4.1%) as multi-racial.

**Table 3**  
**RACE/ETHNICITY**

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
White	16	37	34	29	34	47	197 (36.4%)	197 (36.4%)	298 (27.6%)
African American	35	55	41	49	53	46	279 (51.6%)	279 (51.6%)	681 (63.0%)
Native American	0	2	2	1	1	0	6 (1.1%)	6 (1.1%)	4 (0.4%)
Hispanic	4	8	2	10	5	8	37 (6.8%)	37 (6.8%)	69 (6.4%)
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)
Other	2	4	7	6	1	2	22 (4.1%)	22 (4.1%)	28 (2.6%)
TOTALS	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,081 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2006. Accordingly, 97.0% (525) of the individuals were female, and 3.0% (16) were male.

**Table 4**  
**GENDER**

	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
GENDER	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Female	56	104	84	93	89	99	525 (97.0%)	525 (97.0%)	965 (88.7%)
Male	1	2	2	2	5	4	16 (3.0%)	16 (3.0%)	123 (11.3%)
TOTALS	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,088 (100.0%)

**Table 5** displays the age distribution, at intake, of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2006, with the overall average age being 17.94 years.

**Table 5**  
**AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS**

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS (age at intake)	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	2	0	1	0	0	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.6%)	1 (0.1%)
Thirteen	0	0	2	0	1	0	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.6%)	7 (0.7%)
Fourteen	1	1	2	1	4	3	12 (2.2%)	12 (2.2%)	28 (2.7%)
Fifteen	4	7	5	10	14	11	51 (9.5%)	51 (9.5%)	88 (8.5%)
Sixteen	10	14	18	12	16	17	87 (16.2%)	87 (16.2%)	172 (16.5%)
Seventeen	8	22	20	19	15	29	113 (21.0%)	113 (21.0%)	210 (20.2%)
Eighteen	14	24	14	22	10	18	102 (19.0%)	102 (19.0%)	211 (20.3%)
Nineteen	12	20	17	25	20	18	112 (20.8%)	112 (20.8%)	161 (15.5%)
Twenty	7	13	7	4	13	7	51 (9.5%)	51 (9.5%)	125 (12.0%)
Twenty-one	1	1	1	1	0	0	4 (0.7%)	4 (0.7%)	37 (3.6%)
TOTALS	57	104	86	95	93	103	538 (100.0%)	538 (100.0%)	1,040 (100.0%)
Missing	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	3	48

**Table 6** displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.93 years old, and the average male participant was 18.25 years old.

**Table 6**  
**AGE BY GENDER<sup>5</sup>**

AGE BY GENDER	FIRST SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 06				FY06 % YTD (N)	FY05 % Total (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	97.4	96.5	97.0	97.0 (522)	97.0 (522)	80.3 (939)
Male	2.6	3.5	3.0	3.0 (16)	3.0 (16)	9.7 (101)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (156)	100.0 (113)	100.0 (269)	100.0 (538)	100.0 (538)	100.0 (1,040)

---

<sup>5</sup>For the first six months of FY06, there were three cases for which information about age was missing. Meanwhile, for FY05, there were forty-eight cases for which information about age was missing.

**Table 7** displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 97.2% (526) were single and 2.6% (14) were married. Of the fourteen individuals who were married, six were white, five were African American, two were Hispanic, and one was identified as multi-racial. In terms of age, two were sixteen years old or younger, one was seventeen years old, and eleven were eighteen years old or older. With respect to gender, all fourteen married participants were female. Note: the “other” category served to identify one individual who was separated from their spouse.

**Table 7**  
**MARITAL STATUS**

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Single	55	102	83	91	93	102	526 (97.2%)	526 (97.2%)	1,045 (97.1%)
Married	2	4	3	4	1	0	14 (2.6%)	14 (2.6%)	28 (2.6%)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	3 (0.3%)
TOTALS	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,076 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12

### PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

**Table 8** reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 42.0% (227) were pregnant, 50.1% (271) were parenting, and 7.9% (43) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

**Table 8**  
**PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS**

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Pregnant	18	48	34	37	41	49	227 (42.0%)	227 (42.0%)	507 (46.9%)
Parenting	33	45	47	51	46	49	271 (50.1%)	271 (50.1%)	495 (45.7%)
Pregnant and Parenting	6	13	5	7	7	5	43 (7.9%)	43 (7.9%)	80 (7.4%)
TOTALS	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,082 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 94.8% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

**Table 8A**  
**PRENATAL CARE**

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	22	61	38	40	45	50	256 (94.8%)	256 (94.8%)	548 (94.2%)
No	2	0	1	4	3	4	14 (5.2%)	14 (5.2%)	34 (5.8%)
TOTALS	24	61	39	44	48	54	270 (100.0%)	270 (100.0%)	582 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 79.6% (289) were one year or younger, 12.1% (44) were two years old, 5.5% (20) were three years old, 2.5% (9) were four years old, and 0.3% (1) was five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 86.3% (233) of those parenting had one child, 12.6% (34) had two children, and 1.1% (3) had three children.

**Table 8B**  
**OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN**

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	24	42	39	44	39	45	233 (86.3%)	233 (86.3%)	400 (84.7%)
Two	7	3	8	6	6	4	34 (12.6%)	34 (12.6%)	67 (14.2%)
Three	1	0	0	1	1	0	3 (1.1%)	3 (1.1%)	4 (0.8%)
Four	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.2%)
TOTALS	32	45	47	51	46	49	270 (100.0%)	270 (100.0%)	472 (100.0%)
Missing	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	23

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 74.4% (32) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 23.3% (10) had two children, and 2.3% (1) had three children.

**Table 8C**  
**OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN**

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	3	12	3	4	6	4	32 (74.4%)	32 (74.4%)	63 (81.8%)
Two	3	1	1	3	1	1	10 (23.3%)	10 (23.3%)	11 (14.3%)
Three	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 (2.3%)	1 (2.3%)	3 (3.9%)
TOTALS	6	13	5	7	7	5	43 (100.0%)	43 (100.0%)	77 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

## **PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

**Tables 9 and 10** reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.1.

### **A. School**

The 288 individuals (53.2%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Thirty-two individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training.
- Sixteen individuals had a high school diploma.
- Two individuals had a GED.
- Twenty-seven teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.9.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.28 years, with 41.6% being sixteen years old or younger, 26.9% being seventeen years old, and 31.4 being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 97.6% (281) of those enrolled were females, representing 53.5% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 2.4% (7) of those enrolled were males, representing 43.8% of males in the program.

The 253 individuals (46.8%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixty-three teens had a high school diploma.
- Thirteen participants had a GED certificate.
- Sixteen individuals were in GED training.
- Thirty-eight teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.4.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.70 years, with 14.7% being sixteen years old or younger, 14.3% being seventeen years old, and 71.0% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 96.4% (244) of those not enrolled were females, representing 46.5% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 3.6% (9) of those not enrolled were males, representing 56.3% of males in the program.

### **B. GED Training**

Of the forty-eight individuals in GED training, thirty-two were also in school and four were working. In terms of age, 18.8% were sixteen years old, 31.3% were seventeen years old, and 50.0% were eighteen years old or older.

### **C. GED Certificate**

Fifteen individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, two of who were working and two of who were continuing their education.

### **D. High School Diploma**

The seventy-nine individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixteen teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty-four teens were working.

The 462 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 272 teens were enrolled in school.
- Forty-eight teens were in GED training (including thirty-two who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Fifteen teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Forty-one individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

---

For 144 individuals, or 26.6% of those who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2006, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 22.4% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 17.5% were seventeen years old, and 60.1% were eighteen years old or older.

---

**Table 9**  
**EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE**

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
A. Was the participant in school at intake?									
Yes	26	54	45	48	62	53	288 (53.2%)	288 (53.2%)	530 (51.0%)
No	61	52	41	47	32	50	253 (46.8%)	253 (46.8%)	509 (49.0%)
TOTALS (Missing)	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,039 (49) (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	06 YTD	05 Total
Yes	1	13	8	5	13	8	48 (8.9%)	48 (8.9%)	55 (5.3%)
No	56	93	78	90	81	95	493 (91.1%)	493 (91.1%)	984 (94.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,039 (49) (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	06 YTD	05 Total
Yes	2	1	1	4	4	3	15 (2.8%)	15 (2.8%)	13 (1.3%)
No	55	105	85	91	90	100	526 (97.2%)	526 (97.2%)	1,025 (98.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,038 (50) (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	06 YTD	05 Total
Yes	8	20	16	13	11	11	79 (14.6%)	79 (14.6%)	155 (14.9%)
No	49	86	70	82	83	92	462 (85.4%)	462 (85.4%)	883 (85.1%)
TOTALS (Missing)	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,038 (50) (100.0%)

**Table 10** indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 12.0% (65) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 88.0% (476) of the individuals were unemployed.

**Table 10**  
**EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	7	10	15	12	14	7	65 (12.0%)	65 (12.0%)	144 (13.9%)
No	50	96	71	83	80	96	476 (88.0%)	476 (88.0%)	889 (86.1%)
TOTALS	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1,033 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55

For the sixty-five teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 21.0 and the average hourly wage was \$6.12. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.77 years. Furthermore,

- Fifty-eight (89.2%) of those employed were females, representing 11.0% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, seven (10.8%) of those employed were male, representing 43.8% of the males entering the program.
- Twenty-four individuals had a high school diploma (five of who were also continuing their education).
- Two teens had a GED certificate (one of who was also continuing their education).
- Four teens were in GED training, including three who were also enrolled in school.
- Twenty-seven individuals were enrolled in school (five of who had a diploma, one of who had a GED, and three of who were also in GED training).
- Seventeen teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 476 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 261 were enrolled in school (including twenty-nine who were also in GED training and eleven who had a high school diploma).
- Forty-four teens were in GED training (twenty-nine of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Fifty-five individuals had a high school diploma (eleven of who were also continuing their education).
- Thirteen teens had a GED certificate, including one who was also in school.

## PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Table 11**, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 50.5% of the individuals who entered the program during the first six months of FY06 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 10.2% living independently, and 10.0% living with other relative(s). The remaining 29.4% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

**Table 12**, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 73.1% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 58.4% of those aged seventeen and 33.8% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents at intake.

- All totaled, 92.3% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 76.1% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living with parents and partner, living in partner's sister's home without partner, living in partner's family's home without partner, living with the parents of the father of the baby (FOB) without FOB, living with aunt's ex-boyfriend, living at women's shelter, living at Gospel Mission Shelter, living in transitional living program, Job Corps, transient (e.g., moves back and forth from parents home to living on her own), etc.

**Table 11**  
**LIVING ARRANGEMENT**

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY06 YTD	FY05 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
w/Parents	27	51	53	48	44	50	273 (50.5%)	273 (50.5%)	562 (53.0%)
w/Guardian	1	4	2	8	8	1	24 (4.4%)	24 (4.4%)	34 (3.2%)
w/Other relative	9	10	10	5	7	13	54 (10.0%)	54 (10.0%)	131 (12.4%)
w/Partner	4	4	5	4	12	10	39 (7.2%)	39 (7.2%)	78 (7.4%)
w/Spouse	0	0	1	2	0	0	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.6%)	13 (1.2%)
Formal placement	2	5	0	0	3	2	12 (2.2%)	12 (2.2%)	24 (2.3%)
Independently	8	9	9	14	6	9	55 (10.2%)	55 (10.2%)	84 (7.9%)
Homeless	0	10	2	3	7	3	25 (4.6%)	25 (4.6%)	18 (1.7%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	6	11	2	4	2	6	31 (5.7%)	31 (5.7%)	66 (6.2%)
Other	0	2	2	7	5	9	25 (4.6%)	25 (4.6%)	50 (4.7%)
TOTALS	57	106	86	95	94	103	541 (100.0%)	541 (100.0%)	1060 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28

**Table 12**  
**AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT<sup>6</sup>**

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	OCTOBER 2005 – MARCH 2006				FY06 TOTAL % (N)	FY05 TOTAL % (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)		
w/Parents	73.1	58.4	33.8	50.4 (271)	50.4 (271)	53.9 (550)
w/Guardian	7.7	6.2	1.9	4.5 (24)	4.5 (24)	3.3 (34)
w/Other relative	8.3	5.3	13.0	10.0 (54)	10.0 (54)	12.2 (125)
w/Partner	0.0	5.3	12.3	7.2 (39)	7.2 (39)	7.1 (73)
w/Spouse	0.0	0.9	0.7	0.6 (3)	0.6 (3)	1.2 (12)
Formal placement	3.2	5.3	0.0	2.0 (11)	2.0 (11)	2.4 (24)
Independently	0.0	2.7	19.3	10.2 (55)	10.2 (55)	7.9 (81)
Homeless	0.0	1.8	8.6	4.6 (25)	4.6 (25)	1.8 (18)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	3.8	9.7	5.2	5.8 (31)	5.8 (31)	6.1 (62)
Other	3.8	4.4	5.2	4.6 (25)	4.6 (25)	4.1 (42)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (156)	100.0 (113)	100.0 (269)	100.0 (538)	100.0 (538)	100.0 (1,021)

<sup>6</sup> For the first six months of fiscal year 2005-2006, there were three individuals for whom age was unknown. NOTE: For FY 04-05, there were sixty-seven individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.